arXiv:1603.03076v1 [math.RT] 9 Mar 2016

.

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE DIMENSION OF A HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULE

DANIEL GOLDSTEIN, ROBERT GURALNICK, AND RICHARD STONG

Abstract. For each integer $t > 0$ and each complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we determine the least dimension of an irreducible highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ whose highest weight has height t. As a corollary, we classify all irreducible modules whose dimension equals a product of two primes.

sec:intro

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank n. The irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules are the highest weight modules $V(\lambda)$ where λ is a dominant weight. Each λ is uniquely a linear combination of $\lambda = \sum_{1\le i\le n} a_i\lambda_i$ of the fundamental dominant weights $\lambda_i, 1 \le i \le n$, where the $a_i (1 \le i \le n)$ are non-negative integers. We define the *height* of λ, denoted $\mathrm{ht}(\lambda)$, to be $\mathrm{ht}(\lambda) = \sum a_i$.

Our first main result determines, among all dominant weights λ of a given height, the least value of $d = \dim V(\lambda)$ and gives a λ achieving this minimum.

main1 **Theorem 1.1.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank n. There exists $1 \le s \le n$ (depending on $\mathfrak{g}$) such that $\dim V(\lambda) \ge \dim V(\mathrm{ht}(\lambda)\lambda_s)$ for all dominant weights λ.*

Equality holds if and only if $\lambda = \mathrm{ht}(\lambda)\lambda_{s'}$ and there is an automorphism of the Dynkin digraph of $\mathfrak{g}$ taking s to s'.

See [fh, 2] for a basic reference. We use the Bourbaki notation for Dynkin diagrams, roots and weights; see [bourbaki1, I, Planches II-IX, pp. 250–275]. Let Γ be the finite group of graph automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram. Set $m = \min_{1\le i\le n} \dim V(\lambda_i)$. The set of s such that $\dim V(\lambda_s) = m$ form a single Γ-orbit S. This orbit has size 3 in the case of D_4 ($s = 1, 3, 4$), size 2 in the case of A_n and E_6 ($s = 1$ or n), and size 1 otherwise, in which case $s = n$ if $\mathfrak{g} = F_4, E_7, E_8$ and $s = 1$ for $B_n, n > 2, C_n, n > 1, D_n > 4$. (We choose to view B_2 as C_2).

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical, then $V(t\lambda_s)$ has a nice description in terms of symmetric powers of the natural module. We give the formula for $\dim V(t\lambda_s)$ for

Date: March 11, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B10, 22E46.

Key words and phrases. complex Lie algebras, representation, highest weight.

We thank Nick Katz for asking for the question about representations with dimension a product of two primes.

exceptional $\mathfrak{g}$ in Table 4 of Section 9. Note that, by the Weyl dimension formula, $f_j(t) = \dim V(t\lambda_j)$ is a polynomial in t of degree the dimension of the nilpotent radical of the corresponding maximal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_j$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ (indeed, a positive root α contributes to the product in the numerator if and only if the coefficient of α_j in α is nonzero). In particular, letting $t \to \infty$ in Lemma 2.2 we see the $s \in S$ are precisely those for which the dimension of the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{u}_s$ of the maximal parabolic subalgebra corresponding to α_s is least.

We scale the Killing form $(,)$ on $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes g^*$ to be the unique invariant positive definite form such that inner products of the root lattice elements are integral and have gcd = 1. Also, by inspection, it follows that $(\lambda, 2\alpha)$ is integral for λ in the weight lattice and α in the root lattice. Moreover, these inner products are even if the type is not B.

Since $\rho = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$ lies in the weight lattice, the terms in the numerator (and denominator) of the Weyl dimension formula $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha)$ (and (ρ, α)) are integers if $\mathfrak{g}$ does not have type B. The largest term in the numerator of the Weyl dimension formula is $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)$, where α_h denotes the highest positive root.

Thus, except for type B, $p \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)$, for any prime divisor p of $\dim V(\lambda)$.

In type B, we have $p \leq (\lambda + \rho, 2\beta)$ where β is the highest short root.

In this note, we classify the highest weight representations $V(\lambda)$ such that

ourbound
$$\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)^2. \tag{1}$$

main2 **Theorem 1.2.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank $n \geq 2$, and $V(\lambda)$ a nontrivial highest weight module module for $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)^2$ then (g, λ) is in Table 1 (if $n > 2$) or Section 7 (if $n = 2$).*

In particular, we can now easily determine the irreducible modules whose dimension is a product of at most two primes. Gabber [katz, 1.6] classifed those cases where $\dim V(\lambda)$ is a prime and our methods give a somewhat different proof of his result. Inspired by a question of Nick Katz [katz3, 22.5], we prove the following (see Table 9 for more details):

pq **Corollary 1.3.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank $n \geq 2$ and $V(\lambda)$ an irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module. If $d := \dim V(\lambda) = pq$ with p and q not necessarily distinct primes, then either $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical and V is the natural module or*

1. *$d = a(a+2)$ with $a, a+2$ prime;*
2. *$d = a(2a-1)$ with $a, 2a-1$ prime;*
3. *$d = a(2a+1)$ with $a, 2a+1$ prime;*
4. *$d = a(2a+3)$ with $a, 2a+3$ prime;*
5. *$d = 26, 77$ or 133.*

The methods of this paper cannot classify those modules of prime power dimension. If s is any positive integer and $\lambda = (s-1)\sum \lambda_i$, then $\dim V(\lambda) =$

s^N where N is the number of positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}$ by the homogeneity of the dimension formula as a polynomial in the variables $a_i + 1$. Taking s to be a prime power gives quite a lot of such modules.

In some special cases, the possibilities are quite limited. For example, if $\mathfrak{g}$ has rank at least 2, the only irreducible modules of dimension p^2 for p an odd prime are the natural module for $\mathfrak{g}$ of type A_{p^2-1} or $B_{(p^2-1)/2}$. Similarly, the only irreducible modules of dimension $2p$ with p odd are either natural modules or are of dimension $6, 10, 14$ or 26. In particular, this gives:

pq skew

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = C_n$, n an odd prime with V the natural module of dimension $2n$. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is a proper Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ that acts irreducibly on V, then $\mathfrak{h} = A_1$ or $n = 7$ and $\mathfrak{g} = C_3$.*

pq nonselfdual

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank $n \geq 2$ and $V(\lambda)$ a nontrivial irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module that is not self-dual. If $d := \dim V(\lambda)$ is a product of 2 primes, then $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$ and one of the following holds:*

1. *$n > 3$ and $d = n + 1, (n + 1)(n + 2)/2$ or $n(n + 1)/2$;*
2. *$n = 3, 4$ or 6 and $d = 35$; or*
3. *$n = 2$ and $d = a(a + 1)/2$ or $a(a + 2)$.*

In the first case, the modules are (up to duality), the natural module, its symmetric square and its exterior square. The other possibilities can be read off Table 2. As we discuss in Section 9, one can determine whether the module is self-dual and if so what type of form it preserves.

We remark that the same result holds if the characteristic is $\ell > 0$ as long as we add the assumptions that λ is a restricted dominant weight and $\dim V(\lambda) \leq \ell$ (in characteristic 0). For then, it follows by [4] that in this case the irreducible quotients of Verma modules have the same dimensions in characteristics 0 and ℓ.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof involves two steps. We first show that if λ has height t, then $\dim V(\lambda) \geq \dim V(t\lambda_i)$ for some fundamental weight λ_i. We then give a combinatorial argument to show the minimum occurs only for the λ_s as described above.

Once we have this result, if λ has height t and $\dim V(t\lambda_s) \leq \dim V(\lambda) \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)^2$, we know that $\dim V(t\lambda_s)$ is a polynomial in t of degree the dimension of a nilpotent radical of a maximal parabolic and $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)^2$ is bounded by a quadratic polynomial in t. If $\mathfrak{g}$ has rank at least 2 and $\mathfrak{g}$ is not A_2, then $\dim V(t\lambda_s)$ is a polynomial of degree at least 3 (and typically much larger). Thus, excluding $\mathfrak{g} = A_2$, only finitely many t are possible. Indeed, it follows as long the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$ is not too small, we will see that $t \leq 2$. In any case, for t fixed, $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho + \alpha_h)^2$ will be at least cubic in the rank. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical of large enough rank, it is easy to see that if λ involves λ_i with $i \geq 3$ (and $i < n - 2$ if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A), then $\dim V(\lambda)$ will be at least cubic in the rank. Thus, generically one only needs to consider $V(a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\lambda_2)$ with $a_1 + a_2 = t \leq 2$.

We make these arguments precise in the following sections and get a complete list of the modules satisfying our required bound. We consider the rank 2 Lie algebras in a separate section. Here one has to work harder and there are more examples (indeed, infinitely many for A_2).

In Section 9, we deduce the corollaries. We also recall some information about the type for self-dual modules and formulas for the minimal dimension of modules of a given height for the exceptional algebras.

2. Minimal dimension modules of a given height

Theorem 1.1 from follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, $\lambda = \sum a_i\lambda_i$ a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g}$, where the λ_i are the fundamental dominant weights. We set $t = \sum a_i$. Recall that s is chosen so that $\dim V(\lambda_s)$ has the smallest dimension among all fundamental dominiminant weights.

Lemma 2.1. $\dim V(\lambda) \geq \min_{1\leq j\leq n} \dim V(t\lambda_j)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For all* $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\dim V(t\lambda_j) \geq \dim V(t\lambda_s)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Suppose that the linear function $mx+b$ takes positive values on the real interval I and set $f(x) = \log(mx + b)$. Then $f''(x) = -m^2/(mx + b)^2 < 0$, so f is concave downward on I.

More generally, the logarithm of a product of linear functions, each of which takes positive values on I, is concave downward. Set, as usual, $\langle\gamma, \alpha\rangle = 2(\gamma, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)$. Consider the numerator of the Weyl dimension formula

$$g(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \prod_{\alpha>0} \langle\rho + \lambda, \alpha\rangle,$$

where $\lambda = a_1\lambda_1 + \cdots a_n\lambda_n$. We can view g as a function of the real orthant $a_1, \cdots, a_n \geq 0$, where it takes values ≥ 1. In particular, for any real $t \geq 0$, g takes positive values on the simplex Δ_t consisting of points $P = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ in the orthant $a_1, \cdots, a_n \geq 0$ that lie on the hyperplane $\sum a_i = t$. Define the support of P to be the number of a_i's that are nonzero.

It is enough to show that for any P in Δ_t with support ≥ 2, there is a Q in Δ_t with strictly smaller support such that $g(P) \geq g(Q)$.

Assume the support of P is ≥ 2. Then there are two coordinates of P, say a_i and a_j, that are nonzero. Consider the line through P in such that all of the $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ except a_i and a_j are fixed, and the sum $a_i + a_j$ is fixed. Convexity implies that g is minimized on $P \cap \Delta_t$ an an endpoint Q. Since either a_i or $a_j = 0$ at Q, the support of Q is strictly less than the support of P. □

Let Φ^+ be the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, and set, as usual, $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \alpha = \sum \lambda_i$. The proof of Lemma 2.2 relies on the Weyl dimension formula [Hu72, Cor. 24.6]:

$$\dim V(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \frac{\langle\rho + \lambda, \alpha\rangle}{\langle\rho, \alpha\rangle}.$$

We wish to prove that $\dim V(t\lambda_j) \geq \dim V(t\lambda_s)$. Since, when we apply the Weyl character formula, the denominators are the same, it is enough to prove the inequality on the numerators:

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \langle \rho + \lambda_j, \alpha\rangle \geq \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \langle \rho + \lambda_s, \alpha\rangle.$$

Note that, for a positive root α, $\langle \lambda_i, \alpha\rangle > 0$ if and only if α occurs in the unipotent radical, $\mathfrak{u}_i$, of the maximal parabolic subalgebra determined by α_i. In particular, the function $f_i(t) = \dim V(t\lambda_i)$ is a polynomial in t of degree $\dim \mathfrak{u}_i$.

Let R_i denote the set of positive roots α which occur in $\mathfrak{u}_i$. Our strategy for proving Lemma 2.2 is to find, for each $\mathfrak{g}$ and each $1 \leq j \leq n = \mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$, an injective function ϕ_j from R_s to R_j such that (1) $\langle \rho, \alpha\rangle \leq \langle \rho, \phi_j(\alpha)\rangle$ and (2) $\langle \lambda_s, \alpha\rangle \leq \langle \lambda_j, \phi_j(\alpha)\rangle$ for all α in R_s. This strategy works in all cases except for $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$ and $j = n$ when we do something more elaborate.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank n. Let $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ be the fundamental dominant weights of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Recall that $\langle \rho, \alpha_j\rangle = 1$ and $\langle \lambda_i, \alpha_j\rangle = \delta_{i,j}$.

We claim that there exists for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ a function ϕ_j such that (1) and (2) are satisfied. For the finitely many exceptional Lie algebras (types E, F, G) we verified the claim (and therefore the lemma) by a straightforward computer search. We proceed to prove the claim case by case for the classical Lie algebras (types A, B, C, D).

Case $A_n, n \geq 2$.
The highest root is $\alpha_h = E_1 - E_2$. We have $\langle \rho, \alpha_h\rangle = n - 1$. We choose $s = 1$ rather than $s = n$. Theunipotent radical u_1 corresponding to α_1 has dimension n. The roots of $\mathfrak{u}_1$ are $b_1, \cdots b_n$ where $b_i = e_1 - e_{i+1}$. Subce all roots have squared-length 2, we see that $\langle \lambda, \alpha\rangle = 2(\lambda, \alpha)/(\alpha, alpha) = \lambda, \alpha)$.

Since $\langle \lambda_s, b_i\rangle = (e_1, e_i - e_{i+1}) = 1$ for all $b_i in \mathfrak{u}_1$, (2) is automatically true. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$. We have $\alpha_j = e_j - e_{j+1}$ and the roots of $\mathfrak{u}_j$ are $\{e_i - e_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq j < k \leq n+1\}$. Set $b'_1 = e_{j-1} - e_j, b'_2 = e_{j-2} - e_j \cdots, b'_{j-1} = b_j = e_1 - e_j$ and $b'_i = b_i$ for $i \geq j$. We see that $\phi_j(b_i) = b'_i$ does the trick, since $\langle \rho, b_i\rangle = i = \langle \rho, b'_i\rangle$.

Case $C_n, n \geq 2$.
Positive Roots $\Phi^+ = \{e_i \pm e_k \mid 1 \leq i < k \leq n\} \cup \{2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.
Simple Roots $e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} - e_n, 2e_n$.
Fundamental Dominant Weights $e_1, e_1 + e_2, \cdots, e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_{n-1}, e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$.
$\rho = ne_1 + (n-1)e_2 + \cdots + e_n$,
We have $s = 1$, and $\dim \mathfrak{u}_1 = 2n - 1$. The positive roots in $\mathfrak{u}_1$ are $b_i = e_1 - e_{i+1}, (1 \leq i \leq n-1), b_n = 2e_i$, and $b_i = e_1 + e_{2n+1-i} (n+1 \leq i \leq 2n-1)$. With this notation, $\langle \rho, b_i\rangle = i$ and $\langle \lambda_1, b_i\rangle = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$, and from the latter propert (2) is automatically true.

$j < n$. The simple root $\alpha_j = e_j - e_{j+1}$.
The j'th fundamental domninant weight is $\lambda_j = e_1 + \cdots + e_j$ and the positive roots in $\mathfrak{u}_j$ are $\{e_i - e_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq j < k \leq n\} \cup \{e_i + e_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\} \cup \{2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\}$.

Set $b'_1 = e_j - e_{j+1}, b'_2 = e_{j-1} - e_{j+1}, \cdots, b'_j = e_1 - e_j$, and set $b'_i = b_i$ for $i > j$. Then $\langle \rho, b'_i \rangle = i = \langle \rho, b_i \rangle$ for all i, hence (1) is true.
$j = n$. The simple root $2e_n$.
Here $\lambda_n = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$. The positive roots in $\mathfrak{u}_n$ are $\{e_i + e_k \mid 1 \leq i < k \leq n\} \cup \{2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Set $b'_1 = 2e_n, b'_2 = 2e_{n-1} \cdots b'_n = 2e_1$ and $b'_i = b_i = e_i + e_{2n+1-i}$ for $n + 1 \leq i < 2n - 1$. One checks that $\langle \rho, b'_i \rangle = i$ for all i, hence (1) is true.

Case $D_n, n \geq 4$.
Positive Roots $\Phi^+ = \{e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.
Simple Roots $e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_{n-1} + e_n$.
Fundamental Dominant Weights $e_1, e_1 + e_2, \cdots, e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_{n-1}, \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n), \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n)$.
We have $\rho = (n - \frac{1}{2})e_1 + (n - \frac{3}{2})e_2 + \cdots \frac{1}{2}e_n$ We have $s = 1$, and $\dim \mathfrak{u}_1 = 2n - 2$. The roots in $\mathfrak{u}_1$ are $b_i = e_1 - e_{i+1} (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$, and $b_i = e_1 + e_{2n-i} (n \leq i \leq n - 2)$. With this notation $\langle \rho, b_i \rangle = i$ and $\langle \lambda_1, b_i \rangle = 1$, so, from the latter, (2) is true.
$j < n$. The simple root $e_j - e_{j+1}$.
We have $\lambda_j = e_1 + \cdots e_j$ and the roots in $\mathfrak{u}_j$ are $\{e_i - e_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq j < k \leq n\} \cup \{e_i + e_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\}$ Set $b'_1 = e_{j-1} - e_j, b'_2 = e_{j-1} - e_j, \cdots e_j = e_1 - e_j$ and $b'_i = b_i$ for $i \geq j$. One checks that $\langle \rho, \bar{}_i \rangle = i$, so (1) is true.
$j = n$. The simple root $e_{n-1} + e_n$.
We have $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \cdots e_n)$. The roots in $\mathfrak{u}_n$ are $\{e_i + e_k \mid 1 \leq i < k \leq n\}$. Set $b'_1 = e_{n-2} + e_{n-1}$ (and this is not equal to any other b'_k since $n \geq 4$), $b'_k = e_{n-k} + e_n$ for $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, and $b'_k = b_k$ if $k \geq n$. One checks $\langle \rho, b'_1 \rangle = 2 > 1 = \langle \rho, b_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \rho, b'_i \rangle = i = \langle \rho, b'_i \rangle$ for $i > 1$ so that (1) is true.

Case $B_n, n \geq 3$.
We have $\Phi^+ = \{e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \Delta = \{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, \cdots e_{n-1} - e_n, e_n\}$ and fundamental dominant weights $\lambda_i = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots e_i (1 \leq i < n)$ and $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots e_n)$, so that $\rho = (n - \frac{1}{2})e_1 + (n - \frac{3}{2})e_2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}n$.

We have $s = 1$. We have $\dim(\mathfrak{u}_1) = 2n - 1$, and the roots in $\mathfrak{u}_1$ are $b_i = e_1 - e_{i+1}, (1 \leq i \leq n - 1), b_i = e_1 + e_{2n-i} (n \leq i \leq 2n - 2)$ and $b_{2n-1} = e_1$. With this notation, $\langle \rho, b_i \rangle = i$ and $\langle \lambda_1, b_i \rangle = 1$ except for $\langle \lambda_1, b_{2n-2} \rangle = 2$.
$j < n$. The simple root $e_j - e_{j+1}$.
Set $b'_1 = e_{j-1} - e_j, b'_2 = e_{j-2} - e_j, \cdots, b'_{j-1} = e_1 - e_j$, and set $b'_i = b_i$ for $i \geq j - 1$. We have $\langle \rho, b_i \rangle = i = \langle \rho, b'_i \rangle$ so that (1) is satisfied. (2) only needs to be checked for the last root, but then $\langle \lambda_1, b_{2n-1} \rangle = 2 = \langle \lambda_j, b'_{2n-1} \rangle$. (This is exactly the same as the argument for $\mathfrak{g} = C_n, j < n$.

$j = n$. The simple root e_n.
The roots in $\mathfrak{u}_n$ are $\{e_i + e_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\} \cup \{e_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$. Set, for the odd subscripts, $b'_1 = e_n, b'_3 = e_{n-1}, \cdots b'_{2n-1} = e_1$, and for the even subscripts, $b'_2 = e_{n-1} + e_n, b'_4 = e_{n-2} + e_{n-1}, \cdots b'_{2n-2} = e_1 + e_2$. With this notation, $\langle \rho, b'_i \rangle = i$. Both (1) and (2) are true for all roots but the last as $\langle \lambda_1, b_{2n-1} \rangle = 2$ whilst $\langle \lambda_1, b'_{2n-1} \rangle = 1$. Finally, set $b''_{2n-1} = e_1 + e_n$ which is not one of the other b''_k since $k \ge 3$. One checks using the following lemma that the contribution from b_{2n-1} to the Weyl dimension formula for $V(\lambda_1)$ is not greater than the product of the contributions to $V(\lambda_n)$ from b'_{2n-1} and b''_{2n-1}. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

lem:3roots **Lemma 2.3.** *If $t \ge 0$ then*

$$\frac{2t + (2n-1)}{2n-1} \le \frac{t + (2n-1)}{2n-1} \frac{t+n}{n}$$

Proof. Take the obvious inequality $n(2t + 2n - 1) \le (t + n)(t + 2n - 1)$ and divide both sides by $2n - 1$. □

sec:A

3. Type A

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}, n > 2$ acting on the natural module $V(\lambda_1) = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. For any integer $t \ge 0$, the module $V(t\lambda_1)$ is the t'th symmetric power of the natural module. Thus

$$\dim V(t\lambda_1) = \binom{n+t}{n}.$$

Let λ be a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g}$, and set $t = \mathrm{ht}(\lambda)$. The highest positive root is $\alpha_h = E_1 - E_{n+1}$, so that $(\rho, \alpha_h) = n$ and $(\lambda, \alpha_h) = t$.
We wish to classify all highest weights λ such that satisfy ourbound 1:

$$\dim V(\lambda) \le (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2.$$

For such a λ we have, by Theorem main1 1.1,

$$\binom{n+t}{n} = \dim V(t\lambda_1) \le \dim V(\lambda) \le (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2 = (n+t)^2.$$

Assume for the moment that $t \ge 3$. Then $\binom{n+t}{3} \le (n+t)^2$, which implies $n + t \le 8$. Thus $n \le 5$ and $\dim V(\lambda) \le 64$. An inspection of the Tables 6.6–6.9 of lubeck [8] shows that only possibilities (up to graph automorphism) for (n, λ) are $(n, 3\lambda_1), 2 \le n \le 5$, $(n, 4\lambda_1), n = 2, 3$ and $(n, 5\lambda_1), n = 2, 3$.
For the remainder of the section we assume that $t \le 2$.
We will use the following result of Lübeck lubeck [8, Theorem 5.1] for groups of type A:

lubeckthmA **Theorem 3.1.** *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ with $n > 11$. Assume that $\dim V(\lambda) \le n^3/8$, then (up to a graph automorphism) $\lambda = \lambda_1, 2\lambda_1$ λ_2 or $\lambda_1 + \lambda_n$.*

One checks that, for any n, $\lambda_1, 2\lambda_1, \lambda_2$, and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_n$ all satisfy the inequality.

If $n \leq 11$, Theorem 3.1 implies that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq 169$. Inspection of Tables 6.6–6.15 shows that the only additional dominant weights (up to duality) satisfying our inequality are $(n, \lambda_3), 2 \leq n \leq 7$, $(3, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ and $(3, 2\lambda_2)$.

Now assume that $n > 11$. Then $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (n+t)^2 = (n+2)^2 \leq n^3/8$ and the result now follows by Theorem 3.1.

4. Type B

Let $\mathfrak{g} = B_n, n > 2$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1} = V(\lambda_1)$ be the natural module for $\mathfrak{g}$.

We note that in $\mathrm{Sym}^t(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$, the submodule generated by the multiples the invariant quadratic form is invariant, and its orthogonal complement is irreducible. In other words, $V(t\lambda_1) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^{t-2}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}) = \mathrm{Sym}^t(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$.

Thus, for $t > 1$, $\dim V(t\lambda_1) = \binom{2n+t+1}{2n+1} - \binom{2n+t-1}{2n+1}$.

By Theorem 1.1, we have $\dim V(\lambda) \geq \dim V(t\lambda_1)$ if λ has height t.

The highest positive root is $\alpha_h = E_1 + E_2$, so that $(\rho, \alpha_h) = 2n - 2$ and $(\lambda, \alpha_h) = 2t - a_1 - a_n \leq 2t$, where, as usual, $\lambda = \sum a_i \lambda_i$.

Thus $(\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h) \leq 2n + 2t - 2$.

Note also that the highest short root is $\beta := E_1$. Note that $(\rho + \lambda, 2\beta) \leq 2n + 2t - 1$.

Assume that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2$. Then for $t > 1$,

$$\binom{2n+t}{t} - \binom{2n+t-2}{t-2} \leq (2n + 2t - 1)^2.$$

One checks that $\lambda_1, 2\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_n, n \leq 9$ satisfy (1).

We see that the inequality implies $t \leq 3$. In particular, $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (2n+5)^2$.

Following Lübeck [8, Theorem 5.1], we have:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a classical Lie algebra of type B, C, or D of rank at $n > 11$. Assume that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq n^3$, then $\lambda = \lambda_1, 2\lambda_1$ or λ_2.*

The basic point of the proof is to consider orbits of the Weyl group on the weights. Although Lübeck states the result in positive characteristic, his proof is equally valid over the complex numbers. (Alternatively, the result in positive characteristic *implies* the result over the complex numbers. Indeed, for each λ, for p sufficiently large, $\dim V(\lambda)$ in characteristic p equals its dimension over the complex numbers).

Thus, for $n > 11$, $\dim V(\lambda) < n^3$ and so $\lambda = \lambda_1, 2\lambda_1$ or λ_2. If $n \leq 11$, this is a finite problem and one can check precisely which modules satisfy the inequality (either using the Weyl dimension formula or the tables in [8] – again, the tables in [8] are for positive characteristic but for generic p, the dimension is the same as in characteristic 0). We see that for $n \geq 4$, there are no further examples.

For $n = 3$ we see that the only further possibilities are $2\lambda_3, 3\lambda_3, 3\lambda_1$, and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$ (of dimensions $35, 112, 77$ and 48).

In order to deduce the result about the dimension being a product of two primes, we note that a trivial consequence of the Weyl dimension formula is:

type B

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type $B_n, n \geq 3$. If p is a prime divisor of $\dim V(\lambda)$, then $p \leq (\rho + \lambda, 2\beta)$.*

Thus, if $\dim V(\lambda)$ is a product of two primes, $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho+\lambda, 2\beta)^2$. By the classification, we see that it still follows that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2$.

5. Type C

sec:C

Let $\mathfrak{g} = C_n, n > 2$. In this case $V(\lambda_1) = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is the natural module for $\mathfrak{g}$. It is known that $V(t\lambda_1)$ is the t'th symmetric power of the natural module.

By Theorem main1 1.1, if λ is a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g}$ then

$$\dim V(\lambda) \geq \dim V(t\lambda_1) = \binom{2n+t-1}{2n-1},$$

where $t = \mathrm{ht}(\lambda)$.

Since the highest root for $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\alpha_h = 2E_1$, we see that $(\rho, \alpha_h) = 2n$ and $(\lambda, \alpha_h) = 2t$, so that $(\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h) = 2n + 2t$.

Assume that λ is a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g}$ that satisfies (ourbound 1). We see that this implies the inequality.

$$\binom{2n+t-1}{2n-1} \leq (2n+2t)^2.$$

We see that λ_1, λ_2 and $2\lambda_1$ satisfy (ourbound 1).

For $n \geq 6$, the inequality implies $t \leq 2$, whence $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (2n+4)^2$. By Theorem lubeckthm 4.1, this implies that for $n \geq 11$, there are no further examples. For $n < 11$, we check directly. We see that in fact for $n \geq 6$, there are no further examples.

If $n \leq 5$, we have the additional possibility λ_3. For $n \leq 4$, the inequality implies $t \leq 3$, and one checks that for $n = 5$ there are no further possibilities. If $n \leq 4$, we have the additional possibilities λ_3 and λ_4 (if $n = 4$). For $n = 4$ there are no further possibilities.

Finally consider C_3. The inequality implies $t \leq 5$. This leads to the further possibilities $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, 3\lambda_1$.

6. Type D

sec:D

We consider $G = D_n, n \geq 4$ with natural module $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = V(\lambda_1)$. Just as for type B, we have $V(t\lambda_1) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^{t-2} V(\lambda_1) = \mathrm{Sym}^t V(\lambda_1)$. It follows that $\dim V(t\lambda_1) = \binom{2n+t-1}{2n-1} - \binom{2n+t-3}{2n-1}$.

The highest positive root is $\alpha_h = E_1 + E_2$. If $\lambda = \sum a_i \lambda_i$ is a dominant weight, then $(\rho, \alpha_h) = 2n - 3 \leq 2n + 2t - 3$. $(\lambda, \alpha_h) = 2t - (a_1 + a_{n-1} + a_n)$ so that $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h) = 2n - 3 + 2t - (a_1 + a_{n-1} + a_n) \leq 2n + 2t - 3$.

Assume now that (1) is true. Then we have the inequality

$$\binom{2n+t-1}{2n-1} - \binom{2n+t-3}{2n-1} \leq (2n+2t-3)^2.$$

One checks that $\lambda_1, 2\lambda_1$, and λ_2 satisfy (1). One also checks that the half-spin representation λ_n works for $n \leq 9$.

If $n \geq 5$ the inequality implies $t \leq 2$, whence $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (2n+1)^2$. As in the previous cases, this implies by Theorem 4.1 that for $n \geq 11$, there are no further examples. One checks for $5 \leq n \leq 10$, there are no other examples as well.

If $n = 4$, the inequality implies $t \leq 4$. A computer check finds no further examples (up to the (large) group of graph automorphisms).

7. Rank 2 Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a rank 2 Lie algebra. Let $\lambda = a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2$ be a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g}$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are fundamental dominant weights for $\mathfrak{g}$ and $a, b \geq 0$. If $\dim V(\lambda)$ is a product of two (not necessarily distinct) primes, then necessarily

$$\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2.$$

We also find all dominant weights such that the inequality holds, and among them we identify those such that $\dim V(\lambda) = pq$.

7.1. A_2.

The inequality to be solved is $(a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2)/2 \leq (a+b+2)^2$. This is equivalent to $(a+1)(b+1) \leq 2(a+b+2)$, or $(a-1)(b-1) \leq 4$. The solutions are: $a = 0, b$ any, $a = 1, b$ any $b = 0, a$ any, $b = 1, a$ any, $a = 2, b \leq 4, b = 2, a \leq 4$, or $a = b = 3$. The cases where $\dim V(\lambda) = pq$ are (up to interchanging a and b): If u and $2u+1$ are prime: $\dim V((2u-1)\lambda_1) = u(2u+1)$. If u and $2u-1$ are prime: $\dim V((2u-2)\lambda_1) = u(2u-1)$. If u and $u+2$ are prime: $\dim V((u-1)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = u(u+2)$.

7.2. C_2.

Let $\lambda = a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2$ be a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g} = C_2$.. Then $\dim V(\lambda) = (a+1)(2b+2)(a+2b+3)(2a+2b+4)/24$ and $(\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h) = (2a+2b+4)$. The inequality to be solved, $(a+1)(2b+2)(a+2b+3)(2a+2b+4)/24 \leq (2a+2b+4)^2$, is equivalent to

$$(a+1)(b+1)(a+2b) \leq 24(a+b+2).$$

This has 53 solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
a = 0 \text{ and } \quad & b \leq 11, \\
a = 1 \text{ and } \quad & b \leq 5, \\
a = 2 \text{ and } \quad & b \leq 3, \\
b = 0 \text{ and } \quad & a \leq 22, \\
b = 1 \text{ and } \quad & a \leq 9, \\
b = 2 \text{ and } \quad & a \leq 5, \\
a = 3 \text{ and } \quad & b = 3.
\end{aligned}$$

Of these 7 have dimension pq, namely $\dim V(\lambda_1) = 4, \dim V(2\lambda_1) = 10, \dim V(2\lambda_2) = 14, \dim V(2\lambda_1+\lambda_2) = \dim V(4\lambda_1) = 35, \dim V(4\lambda_2) = 55$, and $\dim V(5\lambda_2) = 91$.

7.3. G_2**.** Let $\lambda = a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2$ be a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g} = G_2$.. Then $\dim V(\lambda) = (a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2)(a+2b+3)(a+3b+4)(2a+3b+5)/120$, and $(\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h) = 2a+3b+5$. The inequality to be solved is $(a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2)(a+2b+3)(a+3b+4) \leq 120(2a+3b+5)$.

We claim that the inequality implies $a, b \leq 7$. If $b \geq a$, then the left hand side is at least $(a+1)^4$, and the right hand side is at most $120 \cdot 5(a+1)$, whence $b \leq a \leq 7$. There is a similar argument assuming $a \leq b$.

One checks that the inequality has the 7 solutions

$$(a,b) = (0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (1,0), (1,1), (1,2), (1,3).$$

Of these 3 have dimension pq, namely: $\dim V(\lambda_2) = 14$, and $\dim V(2\lambda_2) = \dim V(3\lambda_1) = 77$.

8. Exceptional Lie Algebras

sec:EFG

8.1. F_4**.** In this section, we prove:

f4 **Proposition 8.1.** *Let $\mathfrak{g} = F_4$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h)^2$, then $V(\lambda)$ is the either the minimal module of dimension 26 or the adjoint module of dimension 52.*

Assume that $\lambda = \sum a_i\lambda_i$ with $t = \sum a_i$ and $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h)^2$. Note that $(\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h) = (2a_1 + 3a_2 + 4a_3 + 2a_4 + 16) \leq 4t + 11$.

Let $f(t)$ be the function given in Table 2 for F_4. Then $\dim V(\lambda) \leq f(t)/f(0)$. It is straightforward to see that this implies that $t \leq 2$, whence $\dim V(\lambda) \leq 19^2$. The only additional λ that satisfy this bound are λ_3 and $2\lambda_4$ (of dimensions 273 and 324 respectively). These do not satisfy the required inequality, whence the result.

tables

8.2. $E_n, n = 6, 7, 8$**.** First consider $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$.

e6 **Proposition 8.2.** *Let $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h)^2$, then $V(\lambda)$ is either one the two minimal modules of dimension 27 or the adjoint module of dimension 78.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \sum a_i\lambda_i$ with $t = \sum a_i$. Let $f(t)$ be the polynomial given in Table for E_6. Thus, $\dim V(\lambda) \leq f(t)/f(0)$. Note that $(\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h) = a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 3a_4 + 2a_5 + a_6 + 11 \leq 5t + 11$. It is straightforward to see that this forces $t \leq 2$ and in particular, $\dim V(\lambda) \leq 21^2$. Inspection of the tables in [8] shows then implies the result. □

lubeck

The same proof (only easier since the polynomial $f(t)$ has larger degree) gives the following for E_7 and E_8.

e7 **Proposition 8.3.** *Let $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2$, then $V(\lambda)$ is either the minimal module of dimension 56 or the adjoint module of dimension 133.*

e8 **Proposition 8.4.** *Let $\mathfrak{g} = E_8$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho + \lambda, \alpha_h)^2$, $V(\lambda)$ is the adjoint module of dimension 248.*

9. The corollaries

sec:cor

It follows by the Weyl dimension formula that aside from type B, the largest prime dividing the dimension of $\dim V(\lambda)$ is at most $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)$. Thus if $\dim V(\lambda)$ is a product of at most 2 primes, it follows that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)^2$.

Thus, Corollaries 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 follow immediately from Theorem 1.2 except for type B.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ has type B, then the Weyl dimension formula implies that the largest prime dividing the dimension of $\dim V(\lambda)$ is at most $(\lambda + \rho, \beta)$, where β is the highest short root. Thus, if $\dim V(\lambda)$ is a product of at most 2 primes, $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\lambda + \rho, \beta)^2$. In Section 4, we showed that this implied that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_h)^2$ and so again the Corollaries follow from Theorem 1.2.

We summarize in the table below when the irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ is self-dual, and, in the positive case, whether the module is symplectic or orthogonal. For convenience, define $2k+1$ to be the largest odd integer $\leq n$. The first column of Table 2 lists the simple complex Lie algebra, the second column gives necessary and sufficient conditions for $V(\lambda)$ to be self-dual, the third gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the self-dual representation $V(\lambda)$ to be symplectic.

It is easy to tell whether an irreducible module is self-dual. All modules are if there is no graph automorphism or for type D_n with n even. In the other cases, $V(\lambda)$ is self-dual if and only if it is invariant under the graph automorphism, where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \lambda_i$ is a dominant weight.

If a module is self-dual, then it either supports a non-zero invariant alternating form (symplectic case) or a non-zero invariant symmetric form (orthogonal case) but not both. See, for example, [3, 5.1.24] for the well-known criterion to distinguish these cases. To distinguish the orthogonal from the symplectic cases, it is sufficient to do so for the fundamental dominant weights. Indeed, let B denote the set of fundamental dominant weights λ_i such that $V(\lambda_i)$ is (self-dual and) symplectic. Then the self-dual highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ is symplectic if and only if $\sum_{i \in B} a_i$ is odd. We note that by [9, Lemmas 78, 79] the criterion holds for simple algebraic groups in positive characteristic $p \neq 2$ as well.

We list all symplectic fundamental dominant weights.

table1

TABLE 1. Nonzero λ such that $\dim V(\lambda) \leq (\rho+\lambda, \alpha_h)^2$ and rank $n \geq 3$ (up to graph automorphism)

$\mathfrak{g}$	λ	$\dim V(\lambda)$
A_n	λ_1	$n+1$
	$2\lambda_1$	$(n+1)(n+2)/2$
	λ_2	$n(n+1)/2$
	$\lambda_1+\lambda_n$	$n(n+2)$
$3 \leq n \leq 7$	λ_3	$\binom{n+1}{3}$
$3 \leq n \leq 5$	$3\lambda_1$	$\binom{n+3}{3}$
A_3	$t\lambda_1, t=4,5$	$35, 56$
	$\lambda_1+\lambda_2$	20
	$2\lambda_2$	45
B_n	λ_1	$2n+1$
	$2\lambda_1$	$n(2n+3)$
	λ_2	$n(2n+1)$
$3 \leq n \leq 9$	λ_n	2^n
B_3	$2\lambda_3$	35
	$\lambda_1+\lambda_3$	48
	$3\lambda_1$	77
	$3\lambda_3$	112
C_n	λ_1	$2n$
	$2\lambda_1$	$n(2n+1)$
	λ_2	$(n-1)(2n+1)$
$3 \leq n \leq 5$	$3\lambda_1$	$\binom{2n+2}{3}$
	λ_3	$\binom{2n}{3} - 2n$
C_4	λ_4	42
C_3	$\lambda_1+\lambda_2$	64
$D_n (4 \leq n)$	λ_1	$2n$
	$2\lambda_1$	$(2n-1)(n-1)$
	λ_2	$n(2n-1)$
$4 \leq n \leq 9$	λ_n	2^{n-1}
E_6	λ_1	27
	λ_2	78
E_7	λ_7	56
	λ_1	133
E_8	λ_8	248
F_4	λ_4	26
	λ_1	52

table5

TABLE 2. Duality.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$V(\lambda)$ Self − Dual?	Symplectic?
$A_n, n \geq 1$	For all $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a_i} = \mathrm{a_{n+1-i}}$	$n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\mathrm{a_{k+1}}$ odd
$B_n, n \geq 3$	always	$n \equiv 1$ or $2 \mod 4$ and $\mathrm{a_n}$ odd
$C_n, n \geq 2$	always	$a_1 + a_3 + \cdots + a_{2k+1}$ odd
$D_n, n \geq 4$ even	always	$n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ and $\mathrm{a_{n-1}} + \mathrm{a_n}$ odd
$D_n, n \geq 5$ odd	$a_{n-1} = a_n$	never
E_6	$a_1 = a_6$ and $\mathrm{a_2} = \mathrm{a_5}$	never
E_7	always	$a_2 + a_5 + a_7$ odd
E_8	always	never
G_2	always	never
F_4	always	never

table3

TABLE 3. Fundamental Dominant Weight Modules $V(\lambda_i)$ that are Symplectic

$\mathfrak{g}$	i
$A_n, n \equiv 1 \mod 4$	$(n+1)/2$
$B_n, n \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$	n
C_n	i odd
$D_n, n \equiv 2 \mod 4$	$n-1, n$
E_7	$2, 5, 7$

table4

TABLE 4. This table gives a monic polynomial $f(t)$ such that $\dim V(t\lambda_s) = f(t)/f(0)$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ exceptional. Note that $\dim V(t\lambda_s)$ is the least dimension among all highest weight modules $V(\lambda)$ of height $\mathrm{ht}(\lambda) = t$.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$f(t)$	degree(f)
G_2	$(t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(2t+5)$	5
F_4	$\prod_1^{10}(t+j)\prod_4^7(t+j)\cdot(2t+11)$	15
E_6	$\prod_1^{11}(t+j)\prod_4^8(t+j)$	16
E_7	$\prod_1^{17}(t+j)\prod_5^{13}(t+9)$	27
E_8	$\prod_1^{28}(t+j)\prod_6^{23}(t+j)\prod_{10}^{19}(t+j)\cdot(2t+29)$	57

table6

TABLE 5. $\dim V(\lambda) = pq$ with p and q prime

pq	$\mathfrak{g}$	λ	Duality
any	A_1	$(pq-1)\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	A_{pq-1}	λ_1	$-$
	$C_{pq/2}$ (pq even)	λ_1	$-$
	$B_{(pq-1)/2}$ (pq odd)	λ_1	$+$
	$D_{pq/2}$ (pq even)	λ_1	$+$
$a(2a+1)$	A_{2a-1}	$2\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	A_{2a}	λ_2	$\circ$
	$B_a (a>2)$	λ_2	$+$
	C_a	$2\lambda_1$	$+$
	A_2	$(2a-1)\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	D_{a+1}	$2\lambda_1$	$+$
$a(2a-1)$	A_{2a-2}	$2\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	$A_{2a-1} (a>2)$	λ_2	$\circ$
	A_3	λ_2	$+$
	A_2	$(2a-2)\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	D_a	λ_2	$+$
$a(a+2)$	A_a	$\lambda_1+\lambda_n$	$+$
	A_2	$(a-1)\lambda_1+\lambda_2$	$\circ$
$a(2a+3)$	B_a	$2\lambda_1$	$+$
	C_{a+1}	λ_2	$+$
14	C_2	$2\lambda_2$	$+$
	C_3	λ_3	$-$
	G_2	λ_2	$+$
26	F_4	λ_4	$+$
35	A_3	$4\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	A_4	$3\lambda_1$	$\circ$
	A_6	λ_3	$\circ$
	B_3	$2\lambda_3$	$+$
	C_2	$2\lambda_1+\lambda_2$	$+$
	C_2	$4\lambda_1$	$+$
55	C_2	$4\lambda_2$	$+$
77	B_3	$3\lambda_1$	$+$
	G_2	$2\lambda_2$	$+$
	G_2	$3\lambda_1$	$+$
91	C_2	$5\lambda_2$	$+$
133	E_7	λ_1	$+$

References

[1] Nicholas Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématiques. Fasc. XXXIV. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres IV–VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
[2] William Fulton and Joe Harris, Representation Theory, A First Course, GTM Volume 29, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
[3] Roe Goodman and Nolan Wallach, Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[4] Jens Jantzen, Low–dimensional representations of semisimple groups are semisimple, in: "*Algebraic Groups and Lie Groups*", 255–266, Austral. Math., Soc. Lect. Ser., 9, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
[5] Nicholas Katz, Exponential Sums and Differential Equations, Annals of Math. Studies 124, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1999.
[6] Nicholas Katz, Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums and monodromy groups, Annals of Math. Studies 116, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
[7] Nicholas Katz, Convolution and equidistribution: Sato-Tate theorems for finite-field Mellin transforms, Annals of Math. Studies 180, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
[8] Frank Lübeck, Small degree representations of finite Chevalley groups in defining characteristic, LMS J. Comput. Math. 4 (2001), 135–169.
[9] Robert Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley Groups, Yale, 1968.

Center for Communications Research, San Diego, CA 92121, USA
E-mail address: danielgolds@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2532, USA
E-mail address: guralnic@usc.edu

Center for Communications Research, San Diego, CA 92121, USA
E-mail address: stong@ccrwest.org